

BY MR. KEMP:

Q. So back up a little bit.

If you had been informed by someone on the credentialing committee or had independent knowledge from another source that Dr. Desai was the fastest colonoscopist in the United States, that would have concerned you, correct?

MS. ANDRESEN: objection, asked and answered.

THE WITNESS: it would concern me enough to explore his rate of complication, yes. If someone was that much faster than the national average, I would want to know more information about his complication rate, yes.

BY MR. KEMP:

Q. So you would not vote yes until you were satisfied that the complication rate inquiry had been satisfied, correct?

MS. ANDRESEN: objection, foundation.

THE WITNESS: Likely, that's correct, yes

BY MR. KEMP:

58:16-60:16

BY MR. KEMP:

A. It's to be filled out correctly, I'm sure.

Q. And are there any circumstances that you can envision where your facility would put 31 minutes when an operation actually took two or three minutes?

A. No, I'm not aware of that taking place or any reason why that would take place.

Q. That would be potential fraud, correct?

A. Yes.

Q. And would I be correct that you would vote no if you became aware that a provider was billing 31 minutes for operations that took two, three, four minutes?

MS. ANDRESEN: Objection, speculation.

THE WITNESS: If it was shown that it was fraudulent billing, I would vote no, yes, that's correct.

BY MR. KEMP:

Q. Automatically, right? Automatic no vote?

MS. ANDRESEN: Same objection.

THE WITNESS: If it was proven to be fraudulent billing, yes, I would vote no, automatically, yes."

69:9-71:12